Segregation of Feedforward and Feedback Projections in Mouse Visual Cortex
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ABSTRACT
Hierarchical organization is a common feature of mammalian neocortex. Neurons that send their axons from lower to higher areas of the hierarchy are referred to as “feedforward” (FF) neurons, whereas those projecting in the opposite direction are called “feedback” (FB) neurons. Anatomical, functional, and theoretical studies suggest that these different classes of projections play fundamentally different roles in perception. In primates, laminar differences in projection patterns often distinguish the two projection streams. In rodents, however, these differences are less clear, despite an established hierarchy of visual areas. Thus the rodent provides a strong test of the hypothesis that FF and FB neurons form distinct populations. We tested this hypothesis by injecting retrograde tracers into two different hierarchical levels of mouse visual cortex (area 17 and antero-lateral area [AL]) and then determining the relative proportions of double-labeled FF and FB neurons in an area intermediate to them (lateromedial area [LM]). Despite finding singly labeled neurons densely intermingled with no laminar segregation, we found few double-labeled neurons (~5% of each singly labeled population). We also examined the development of FF and FB connections. FF connections were present at the earliest timepoint we examined (postnatal day 2, P2), while FB connections were not detectable until P11. Our findings indicate that, even in cortices without laminar segregation of FF and FB neurons, the two projection systems are largely distinct at the neuronal level and also differ with respect to the timing of their axonal outgrowth. J. Comp. Neurol. 519:3672–3683, 2011.
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The notion that the many (~30) visual areas that comprise a large portion of the macaque monkey’s cerebral cortex are organized hierarchically is now well established (Felleman and Van Essen, 1991). The concept was originally based on the physiological differences between areas described by Hubel and Wiesel (1962, 1965), but has since been extended by anatomical data. The anatomical hierarchy is based on the discovery of certain regularities that allow a given connection between any two cortical areas to be assigned a direction based on its layers of origin and termination: In general, feedforward (FF) projections originate in the superficial layers of the cortex and terminate in layer 4, while feedback (FB) connections originate in the superficial and deep layers, and their axon terminals tend to avoid layer 4 (Rockland and Pandya, 1979). Using these rules to assign each member of any connected pair as “higher” and “lower,” the areas can be arranged into a self-consistent hierarchy (Felleman and Van Essen, 1991). This hierarchy has played a central role in the neurobiology of vision, constraining theories and guiding experimental approaches to function. Moreover, the principle has also been successfully applied to cortical areas in other sensory modalities, including somatosensation (Friedman, 1983; Felleman and Van Essen, 1991) and audition (Rouiller et al., 1991; Scannell et al., 1995), as well as to different mammalian species ranging from rodents (Coogan and Burkhalter, 1993) to carnivores (Scannell et al., 1995) to primates (Felleman and Van Essen, 1991).
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One of the most important benefits of the anatomical hierarchy in the visual system is that it facilitated an extension of the physiological principles initiated by Hubel and Wiesel. As one ascends the hierarchy, the receptive fields of neurons become larger, the retinotopic organization becomes less precise, and the effective visual stimuli become more complex. This progressive elaboration of more complex receptive field structure has traditionally been explained by the convergence of feedforward connections as proposed by Hubel and Wiesel (1962, 1965) to explain how orientation-selective simple cells could be constructed from lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) inputs, complex cells from simple cells, and end-stopped cells from complex cells. In at least one case—simple cells in striate cortex—this model has been largely borne out (Reid and Alonso, 1995). And computational models using purely feedforward connections have been remarkably successful at accounting for some of the most important capacities of vision, such as the ability to recognize specific objects under a variety of environmental conditions (Riesenhuber and Poggio, 1999). Thus feedforward hierarchies in the visual cortex seem well suited to perform the functions we attribute to perception.

Another important function of the cortex, however, is to anticipate future sensory inputs and to adjust expectations of those inputs based on the actions produced by the organism. For example, subjects do not mistake the visual motion produced by their own eye movements for motion of the world, even though these eye movements produce retinal image motion, because copies of the eye movement commands are sent back to sensory areas where their expected effects are somehow accounted for (Sperry, 1950; von Holst and Mittelstaedt, 1950). This mechanism is not perfect—small inaccuracies in the reference signal for eye movements, for example, give rise to the Filehne Illusion (Filehne, 1922; Mack and Herman, 1973)—but it is adequate under normal viewing conditions where their expected effects are somehow accounted for (Sperry, 1950; von Holst and Mittelstaedt, 1950). This mechanism is not perfect—small inaccuracies in the reference signal for eye movements, for example, give rise to the Filehne Illusion (Filehne, 1922; Mack and Herman, 1973)—but it is adequate under normal viewing conditions to provide a stable representation of the visual world. However, when the execution of a desired movement is artificially impaired spectacular perceptual consequences occur, including vivid percepts of visual motion in the absence of any stimulus motion on the retina (Helmholtz, 1910; Matin et al., 1982). These predictive functions are thought to be served by feedback connections.

Insofar as the functional roles of FF and FB neurons are distinct, one might expect them to constitute separate populations of projection neurons. Surprisingly, this has not been clearly demonstrated for any species. In the monkey, where the cell bodies of origin of the two different types of projections tend to reside in different layers, it seems highly likely that this is the case, and preliminary studies have provided supporting evidence (Markov et al., 2007). However, even in primates there are exceptions to this laminar rule. For example, the cell bodies of about half of the neurons in V2 that project back to area 17 are located in the upper layers (Barone et al., 2000). It is thus conceivable that at least some of these upper layer neurons project both backwards to V1 and forward to V4.

The above considerations are based on studies performed in nonhuman primates. Yet the cerebral cortex is a widespread mammalian phenomenon, so one would like to know the extent to which the same organizational principles apply to other species. There is evidence that the rodent visual system is also hierarchically organized (Fig. 1A) (Coogan and Burkhalter, 1990, 1993; Wang and Burkhalter, 2007b), although the rules for assigning directionality to a given connection are somewhat different from those in the primate. Specifically, there is no evidence that the cell bodies of FF and FB neurons are segregated in different cortical layers. What distinguish FF from FB connections are their axon terminals: the former ramify across all layers, while the latter tend to avoid layer 4 (Coogan and Burkhalter, 1993). This situation means it is possible that the same neurons project in both directions. In this sense, rodent cortex (as compared to primate cortex) offers a stronger test of the hypothesis that FF and FB neurons form distinct populations. We tested this hypothesis by injecting two different retrograde tracers into two areas of the mouse visual cortex (area 17 and anterolateral area [AL]) that are, respectively, hierarchically below and above a third area (lateromedial area [LM]) and then determining the proportion of double-labeled neurons in area LM as well as their laminar distributions. We also assessed the developmental appearance of different projections (FF vs. FB) by making injections at sequential postnatal stages.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Experiments were performed on C57BL/6 mice ranging in ages from postnatal day 2 (P2) to P80. All procedures were approved by the Harvard Medical Area Standing Committee on Animals and conformed to guidelines established by the National Institutes of Health for the care and use of laboratory animals.
To map cortico-cortical projections in mice we injected intracortically three different neural tracers (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA): 10% aqueous solution of dextran amine conjugated to Alexa-Fluor 594 (DA-594; 10,000 MW), 10% aqueous solution of biotinylated dextran amine (BDA; 10,000 MW), and 5% aqueous solution of bis-benzimide (BB; Hoechst 33258). Animals were anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine and xylazine (100:10 mg/kg, respectively, for adult mice, and 60:6 mg/kg for P7-P18). Isoflurane anesthesia was used for animals at age P2. Micropipettes with tip diameter of 10–15 μm were used for pressure injections controlled by a Picospritzer III (Parker Automation, Cleveland, OH) using multiple pulses at 20 p.s.i. of 5 ms duration.

In the first series of experiments (Fig. 1B,C) with adult mice (P21 and older) two different dextran amine tracers were injected: one into area 17 (BDA; coordinates: anteroposterior, between 1.5 mm posterior and 0.5 mm anterior to lambda; mediolateral, 1.5–3.0 mm lateral to the midline) and the other into cortical visual area AL (DA-594; coordinates: anteroposterior, 0.5 mm anterior to lambda; mediolateral, 4.0 mm lateral to midline). In area 17, multiple injections of BDA were made at depths ranging from 0.3–0.7 mm below the cortical surface (Fig. 2D), and the amount of each injection was 20–40 nl. Area AL
received a single injection of the same volume (20–40 nl) of DA-594 at a single depth of ~0.5 mm (Fig. 2E). In addition, many (up to 20) injections of bis-benzimide were made into the parietal and occipital regions of the contra-lateral hemisphere to reveal postfactum the callosally projecting neurons that correspond to retinotopic locations near the vertical meridian and thus delineate boundaries between some of the visual cortical areas on the side of interest (see magenta areas in Fig. 2A and regions in Fig. 2B,C) (Wang et al., 2007; Wang and Burkhalter, 2007a).

We relied on the following criteria to ensure that our injections were restricted to AL and our analysis of labeled neurons was restricted to LM. The most important guide was the pattern of anterograde label produced by our injections into area 17. As can be seen in Figure 2, the area 17 injections produce two distinct foci of anterograde label in the acallosal zone containing areas AL and LM. The anteromedial focus corresponds to area AL and the posterolateral focus to LM. Based on the known retinotopy of these areas (Wang and Burkhalter, 2007a), their relative sizes, and the locations of our injections in area 17, we are confident that these two anterograde foci were not simply distinct retinotopic regions within a single area. We only included cases in which the two anterograde foci were distinct and the AL injection was confined to the anteromedial focus, as can be seen by comparing Figure 2B and 2C. In these cases we confined our analysis of labeled neurons to LM by only counting cells within the posterolateral focus to LM. Based on the known retinotopy of these areas, their relative sizes, and the locations of our injections in area 17, we are confident that these two anterograde foci were not simply distinct retinotopic regions within a single area. We only included cases in which the two anterograde foci were distinct and the AL injection was confined to the anteromedial focus, as can be seen by comparing Figure 2B and 2C. In these cases we confined our analysis of labeled neurons to LM by only counting cells within the posterolateral focus to LM. Based on the known retinotopy of these areas, their relative sizes, and the locations of our injections in area 17, we are confident that these two anterograde foci were not simply distinct retinotopic regions within a single area. We only included cases in which the two anterograde foci were distinct and the AL injection was confined to the anteromedial focus, as can be seen by comparing Figure 2B and 2C.

In the second series of experiments, three to six injections of one of two dextran amine tracers (BDA or DA-594) were made into area 17 at various stages of postnatal development from P2 to P18. Again, multiple injections of a single tracer were made at depths ranging from 0.3–0.7 mm below the cortical surface. A total of 30 mice were used for the developmental time-course (Table 2). In the third series we tested the efficiency of labeling by mixing together the two tracers (BDA and DA-594) and coinjecting them into area 17. Three mice were used for these experiments (Table 3).

After 2 or 3 days of survival time, animals were deeply anesthetized and then perfused through the heart with a solution of 0.9% sodium chloride, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4). Brain hemispheres were cryoprotected in 30% sucrose solution in 0.1 M PB and then 40-μm thick brain slices were cut on a freezing, sliding microtome. The plane of section was either parallel to the cortical surface or coronal. In cases where we cut parallel to the cortical surface, cortex was flattened beforehand. Sections with BDA injections were incubated in streptavidin conjugated to Alexa-Fluor 488 (Invitrogen, dilution 1:200 in 5 mM PBS with 0.3% of

---

**TABLE 1.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mouse ID</th>
<th>No. of singly labeled (SL) feedforward (FF) neurons</th>
<th>No. of singly labeled (SL) feedback (FB) neurons</th>
<th>No. of double-labeled (DL) neurons</th>
<th>%FF&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>%FB&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 7</td>
<td>1242 (994)&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1043 (834)</td>
<td>53 (42)</td>
<td>4.0 [2.9-5.4]&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.8 [3.5-6.4]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>Calculated as: (#DL / (#SL + #DL)) * 100.

<sup>2</sup>Numbers in parentheses are corrected for sectioning bias (n × 0.8).

<sup>3</sup>Numbers in brackets are the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals from the binomial distribution.
Triton X-100) for 4 hours at room temperature in order to reveal biotin. Bis-benzimide and Alexa-Fluor 594 labels were directly observed using a fluorescent microscope (Zeiss Axioskop). For cases in which we sectioned coronally, a subset of the sections were counterstained with thionin to aid in assigning retrogradely labeled neurons to supra- versus infragranular layers. A digital camera (Optronics Engineering, Goleta, CA) was used to record the data to image files from which subsequent cell counts were made. Images presented in figures and used for cell counts were adjusted for brightness and contrast (Adobe Photoshop, San Jose, CA), but were not manipulated in any other way.

All cell counts were initially recorded as simple profile counts using Neurolucida software (MicroBrightField, Williston, VT). Because the cell bodies of the pyramidal neurons we labeled were of a relatively uniform diameter ($h \approx 10 \mu m$) and our sections were of uniform thickness ($T = 40 \mu m$), sectioning biases were corrected by multiplying initial cell counts by $0.80 \left(\frac{T}{T+h}\right)$, Guillery, 2002). To minimize rounding errors with small numbers from individual sections, we report the raw (uncorrected) counts in the tables but report corrected counts in the text and use corrected cell numbers for computing confidence intervals (CIs) and making statistical comparisons. Confidence intervals for proportions were obtained directly from the binomial distribution using the relevant functions from the statistics toolbox in MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA).

**RESULTS**

In the first series of experiments we targeted injections of BDA to area 17 and DA-594 to area AL of the same hemisphere (Fig. 1C). We also made multiple injections of bis-benzimide spanning occipital and parietal cortices of the opposite hemisphere. We succeeded in confining our injections to the desired visual areas in 7 of 16 mice. Successful targeting was determined post hoc on histological sections by comparing the injection sites to the area boundaries determined by trans-callosal transport of bis-benzimide and the pattern of anterograde label produced by the area 17 injections (Fig. 2A–C). As can be seen in Figure 2A, the band of callosally projecting neurons labeled with bis-benzimide clearly defines the lateral border separating area 17 from areas AL and LM. To distinguish AL from LM we relied on the pattern of anterograde transport resulting from the injections of BDA into area 17. These injections produced two clear patches of

![Image](image.jpg)

**Figure 3.** Laminar overlap of FF and FB neurons in area LM. A: Coronal section through LM from case 36 reveals the intermingling of FF (red) and FB (green) neurons largely confined to the supragranular layers of the cortex. Vertical scale on left indicates normalized cortical depth used for histogram in panel C. In this particular section, counterstaining with thionin revealed that the bottom of layer 3 corresponded to a normalized cortical depth of 0.5 and the top of layer 5 corresponded to a normalized cortical depth of 0.69. B: Higher-power view of the rectangular region in panel A. C: Histogram of depth profiles of all retrogradely labeled neurons in LM. The arrowheads represent the median for each group. A magenta-green version of this figure is available as Supplementary Figure 2. Scale bars = 200 μm in A; 100 μm in B.

**TABLE 2.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>No. of experiments</th>
<th>Total no. of area 17 injections</th>
<th>Total injection area (mm²)</th>
<th>No. of labeled neurons in LM</th>
<th>No. of labeled neurons in LM per 0.01 mm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;P8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 3.
Labeling Efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mouse ID</th>
<th>No. of singly labeled neurons in LGN</th>
<th>No. of DL neurons in LGN</th>
<th>No. of singly labeled neurons in LM</th>
<th>No. of DL neurons in LM</th>
<th>DL / total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>45 / 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33 / 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61 / 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 3</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>139 / 139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses are corrected for sectioning bias (n x 0.8).

antrograde label within the acallosal zone lateral to the border of area 17. The anteromedial patch corresponds to area AL and the posterolateral patch corresponds to LM (Fig. 2B,C). We also confirmed that our injections spanned all layers without entering the underlying white matter (Fig. 2D,E).

Examination of area LM (Fig. 3A,B) revealed numerous singly labeled neurons corresponding to FF neurons (red; LM → AL) and FB neurons (green; area LM → 17) densely intermingled and largely confined to the supragranular layers. There was no apparent laminar segregation of the different projection neuron types. To compare the depth profiles among groups, we measured two distances for every labeled neuron from seven cases sectioned in the coronal plane: 1) distance from the pial surface, \( p \), and 2) distance from the beginning of the white matter, \( w \). We then calculated the neuron’s normalized cortical depth as:

\[
p / (p + w)
\]

A quantitative comparison of the depth profiles revealed a tiny, but statistically significant, difference between the FF and FB neurons, with FF neurons being, on average, very slightly more superficial (Fig. 3C; normalized depth of FF: 0.30 ± 0.003, \( n = 807 \); FB: 0.31 ± 0.004, \( n = 813 \); DL: 0.27 ± 0.016, \( n = 20 \); median ± SEM; Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric one-way analysis of variance [ANOVA], \( P < 0.001 \); post-hoc comparisons using Tukey’s honestly significant difference criterion).

Given that the mouse cortex is, on average, about 1 mm thick, the difference in medians between FF and FB populations corresponds to only about 10 μm and is probably of little biological significance.

We also classified each retrogradely labeled neuron as being in either the supragranular layers or infragranular layers. The vast majority of labeled cells were above layer 4 (>93%), and there was no significant difference between FF and FB neurons with respect to their location in supragranular versus infragranular layers (\( \chi^2 \) test, \( P = 0.33 \)). In absolute distance, over 90% of all labeled neurons were within 350 μm of the cortical surface, which corresponds to about 400 μm after correcting for a linear shrinkage factor of 0.88 (Schütz and Palm, 1989).

Although singly labeled neurons were often densely intermingled (Figs. 3A,B, 4A,B), only rarely did we observe double-labeled (DL) neurons (yellow arrows in Fig. 4A,B). Cell counts for each of the seven experiments are shown in Table 1. Combining across all seven experiments, we labeled a total of 994 FF neurons and 834 FB neurons and found 42 DL neurons (Fig. 4C) comprising less than 5% of each projection population \([#DL/(#SL + #DL)]\): 4.0% of the FF population (95% CI from the binomial distribution, 2.9–5.4%) and 4.8% of the FB population (95% CI, 3.5–6.4%). The largest percentages we observed in any single experiment were 5.6% of FF (95% CI, 3.5–8.4%) and 6.7% of FB (95% CI, 4.2–10.0%). Thus, FF and FB neurons, although not segregated into different layers, form separate populations of projection neurons.

Given that the two populations are largely distinct, we wondered whether there was any difference in the timing of outgrowth of their projections. Studies using antero- and retrograde tracers in rodents had already indicated that the development of FB connections is delayed compared to that of FF (Dong et al., 2004b), so we sought to confirm this using retrograde tracers. To do this, we made multiple, large injections of DA Alexa-594 into area 17 at different times after the animal was born. At stage P9 or earlier, we made a total of 58 area 17 injections in 13 animals and did not retrogradely label a single feedback neuron anywhere in extrastriate visual cortex lateral to area 17 (Table 2). The same injections produced anterograde label in every case (Fig. 5A,B,G), indicating that feedforward projections from area 17 exist at least as early as P2. FB neurons were first observed in area LM following injections at stage P11 (Fig. 5C,D), but remained relatively few in number through P14. Consistent with the previous study (Dong et al., 2004b), we did not see adult levels of FB labeling in area LM until P18 (Fig. 5E,F). This is not likely to reflect a cellular developmental issue, such as immaturity of retrograde (as opposed to anterograde) axonal

*For the laminar analysis, we counted all labeled neurons regardless of retinotopic overlap. Thus, the proportion of double-labeled cells is artificially lower here as compared to Figure 4 and Table 1.
transport, since we were able to retrogradely label callosal connections at P2 (Fig. 5H).

Our main result is that we find very few DL neurons in LM after labeling FF and FB neurons with different retrograde tracers. However, interpreting the incidence of DL neurons is rendered potentially difficult by two factors—ascertaining the degree of topographic overlap and uncertainty in the efficiency of labeling. Lack of retinotopic overlap and low labeling efficiency would both have caused us to underestimate the true proportion of dual-projecting cells. To maximize topographic overlap, we made multiple tracer injections in area 17 (Fig. 2) in order to compensate for its larger magnification factor relative to AL. Due to the small size of AL, only a single injection was made here; however, this generally covered the full corresponding retinotopic extent of AL (Fig. 2C). Furthermore, for the DL analysis (Table 1) we only counted neurons in regions containing both red and green label. That is, isolated patches of singly labeled neurons, which likely corresponded to a retinotopic mismatch in the injection sites, were not included in the counts used for this analysis.

The question of labeling efficiency is more difficult. By labeling efficiency, we mean the probability $P$ that a neuron with an axon terminal within the injection zone of the tracer will have detectable label in its cell body. Insofar as this probability is less than one, the true incidence of DL cells will be underestimated by a factor of $P$. For example, if our labeling efficiency was only 0.5, the true proportion of dual-projecting neurons would be on the order of 10%, assuming equal and independent labeling efficiencies for the two tracers. In one previous study (Ivy and Killackey, 1982), tracer efficiency was estimated by injecting two different retrograde tracers (fast blue and diamidino yellow) into the same region of the cortex on

Figure 4. Distinct populations of FF and FB neurons in area LM. A,B: Tangential sections at an approximate depth of 200 µm through LM from cases 21 (A) and 22 (B) showing FF (red) and FB (green) neurons. In each panel a single double-labeled neuron is indicated by the yellow arrow. C: Venn diagram showing minimal overlap in the populations of FF and FB neurons as evidenced by the paucity of double-labeled neurons. Cell counts are totals from seven different experiments (Table 1) after correcting for sectioning bias. Two small artifacts produced by autofluorescent debris were eliminated from panel A using the "Clone Stamp Tool" in Adobe Photoshop. A magenta-green version of this figure is available as Supplementary Figure 3. Scale bars = 50 µm in A,B.

Figure 5. Development of FF and FB connections between area 17 and area LM. A,C,E: Tangential sections through area LM after injections of DA-594 into area 17 at different postnatal days. B,D,F: Schematics summarizing the labeling pattern observed across cases at each timepoint. A,B: P7. C,D: P12. E,F: P18. Anterograde label is present in all sections, indicating the presence of FF connections, but retrogradely labeled cell bodies (FB neurons) are present only at P12 and P18. G: Tangential section through area LM showing clear anterograde labeling and absence of retrograde labeling after an injection of BDA into area 17 at P8. H: Tangential section through region on border of LM showing callosally projecting neurons retrogradely labeled after an injection of bis-benzimide into the contralateral hemisphere at P2. Scale bars = 50 µm.
successive days. While the results were not quantified, the authors reported that “most of the neurons [were] indeed double labeled” and attributed the few singly labeled neurons to small mismatches in the amounts or sites of the injections. This result would suggest that labeling efficiency is high. However, because we used a different pair of tracers we performed a similar experiment to test our tracer efficiency. We circumvented the previously encountered problem of mismatches in location and amount by mixing our two tracers (DA-594 and BDA) together and coinjecting them in area 17. Insofar as the uptake is stochastic at the neuronal level (as opposed to, for example, targeted to specific neuronal subtypes) and independent for the two tracers, the efficiency is the square root of the proportion of DL neurons.

A small area 17 coinjection produced only DL neurons in both the LGN (Fig. 6A–C) and area LM (Fig. 6D–F). In three animals we retrogradely labeled a total of 111 neurons (corrected; see Materials and Methods), all of which were double-labeled (95% CI, 0.97–1.0; Table 3). This yields a minimum labeling efficiency of 0.98 (square-root of the lower CI). Using the minimum efficiency, we would only revise our estimated frequencies of DL neurons to 4.1% and 4.9% for FF and FB populations, respectively. This control experiment rules out the possibility that our two tracers selectively targeted different populations of projection neurons and strengthens our finding that the two projection populations are largely distinct.

**DISCUSSION**

Our results indicate that feedback connections in the mouse visual cortex, as in the monkey, originate from largely distinct populations of neurons. In both the present study and a previous preliminary report in primates (Markov et al., 2007), the incidence of dual-projecting neurons—i.e., those sending an axon to both higher and lower areas—was very low. In the primate, areas V1 and V4 were injected, and DL neurons were counted in areas V2 and V3. Those authors found very few DL neurons in the supragranular layers (0.67%) and only slightly more in the infragranular layers (3.4%). In the mouse we found that the overwhelming majority of all labeled projection neurons were in the supragranular layers (>93%; Fig. 3), so this distinction is not as meaningful for our study.

It is also of note that the study of Markov et al. (2007) used a different pair of retrograde tracers—fast blue and diamidino yellow—supporting the notion that finding low percentages of DL neurons is not an artifact of a particular combination of tracers having differing affinities for dual- versus single-projecting neurons. To further strengthen our finding of a low incidence of dual-
projecting neurons, we performed a control experiment to test the labeling efficiency of our two tracers (BDA and DA-594; Fig. 6). This experiment rules out the possibility that our two tracers selectively targeted different populations of projection neurons; however, it does not rule out the possibility that some neurons, for whatever reason, do not efficiently take up either tracer. We think the latter is unlikely, because in many of our experiments the combined local labeling density was quite high (e.g., Figs. 3A,B, 4A,B). Nevertheless, to the extent that the dual-projecting neurons were selectively insensitive to both of our tracers, we have underestimated their prevalence.

Insofar as FF and FB populations in the mouse are distinct, it is likely that additional differences exist and await further studies to confirm or identify. For instance, it is already well documented that FF and FB populations in the rodent interact differently with excitatory and inhibitory networks in their target area (Gonchar and Burkhalter, 1999, 2003; Dong et al., 2004a). The two populations of neurons might show important morphological differences, such as differences in their soma sizes or in their dendritic branching patterns. They may also differ in their expression of various proteins and neuromodulators. For instance, synaptic zinc (Ichinohe et al., 2010) and neurofilament protein (Hof et al., 1996) have been shown to associate specifically with feedback projection neurons in the monkey, and latexin has been shown to do the same in lateral cortex of the rat (Bai et al., 2004). Some of these associations are less clear in the rat, such as in the case of synaptic zinc (Casanova-Aguilar et al., 2002), and all await confirmation in the mouse visual cortex.

While the relative paucity of DL cells is consistent with separate functional roles for feedforward and feedback processing, the presence of any dual-projecting neurons is intriguing. What might be the function of this small population of neurons? Because it is so small, one is tempted to dismiss the group of DL cells as developmental noise. It remains possible, however, that these neurons play some kind of special role, such as synchronizing the activity of neurons at different levels of the hierarchy that participate in the representation of a single object (e.g., Engel et al., 2001).

It is also interesting to note that dual tracer studies of pairs of feedforward projections (Bullier et al., 1984; Vogt Weisenhorn et al., 1995; Sincich and Horton, 2003), as well as pairs of feedback connections (Kennedy and Bullier, 1985; Rockland and Knutson, 2000), have typically identified dual-projecting neurons consisting of a few percent of the single-projecting populations. For example, injections of retrograde tracers in areas 18 and 19 of the cat produced 1–3% DL neurons in area 17 (Bullier et al., 1984), and a similar proportion of so-called “manifold” cells was found in macaque V1 after injections in MT and V2 (Sincich and Horton, 2003). In the case of pairs of feedback connections, injections into macaque V1 and V2 produced only about 6% DL neurons in nearby visual areas on the anterior bank of the lunate sulcus, but the proportion of DL cells increased to as high as 18% in more distant areas.

Other double-label studies have examined populations of neurons that project both ipsilaterally and across the corpus callosum. For the most part, these populations appear to be similarly small in adult rats (Ivy and Killackey, 1982), cats (Innocenti et al., 1986), and monkeys (Schwartz and Goldman-Rakic, 1982), although there can be higher percentages at earlier stages of development (Ivy and Killackey, 1982; Innocenti et al., 1986). Given this last fact, it would be interesting to know whether the proportion of the dual FF/FB neurons we identified in our study is also higher at earlier developmental stages. However, given that FB axons are late to innervate their targets (≥P9; Fig. 5, Table 3), such a population, if it exists, must be very transient.

The studies discussed above indicate that dual-projecting neurons generally represent only a small proportion of the total. One notable exception to this “rule” is the very high percentage of neurons that project from mouse somatosensory cortex both to premotor cortex on the ipsilateral side and across the corpus callosum to the contralateral hemisphere (Mitchell and Macklis, 2005). Even in adult mice, in certain layers the percentage of dual-projecting neurons approached 60%. One of the questions raised by the study of Mitchell and Macklis (2005) was whether mice were unique as a species in preserving such a high degree of collateralization. Our study provides at least one counterexample of a mouse cortical system that exhibits sparse dual connectivity. Another possible difference was that Mitchell and Macklis made unusually large and extensive series of injections of their two tracers, perhaps increasing their chances of labeling dual-projecting neurons. We do not think that this technical issue accounts for the difference between our study and ours, since we also made multiple, large injections in area 17 (see Fig. 2B,C) and our single injections generally covered the majority of the retinotopic extent of AL (Fig. 2C) producing very dense local labeling within corresponding regions of LM (Figs. 3A,B, 4A,B). Even within these densely labeled regions we found very few DL cells (Fig. 4).

We also observed a marked difference in our ability to retrogradely label feedback neurons compared to feedforward at different postnatal ages (Fig. 5), confirming previous studies with anterograde tracers in the rodent (Dong et al., 2004b). Presumably, this result is due to differences in the timing of axonal outgrowth and not in the time at which the different populations of neurons are
born, since excitatory pyramidal cells residing in the same cortical layer and area generally share birth dates (Rakic, 1974; Takahashi et al., 1999). Even allowing for some temporal jitter due to differences in cell cycle timing or rates of postmitotic migration, it seems unlikely that the large difference we measured—nearly 10 days—can be explained by birth date, because, in the mouse, the entire neurogenetic interval lasts only 6 days and is largely over by E17 (Caviness et al., 1995). We thus believe that the timing differences observed by us and others are the result of delayed innervation of targets by FB neurons.

Investigations in other species, including cat and monkey, have not revealed such a dramatic difference in the timing of the ability to label FB versus FF neurons; however, there were differences in the rate at which the patterns of connections were remodeled to achieve their final, adult patterns. In particular, in monkeys the development of FF pathways was found to be mature prematurely, whereas FB pathways were extensively remodeled until the second postnatal month (Rodman, 1994; Barone et al., 1995; Batardière et al., 2002). A similar pattern also appears to exist in humans, where laminar patterns of feedback connections are relatively more immature at birth and continue to be refined well into the postnatal period (Burkhalter, 1993). Thus, while differing in details, in all mammalian species examined to date FB connections are delayed in their maturation as compared to FF projections. Such a delay is consistent with theoretical ideas concerning the predictive nature of FB (Mumford, 1992; Rao and Ballard, 1999) insofar as the formation of the higher-order predictions requires mature FF circuitry and, possibly, even visual experience.

The prolonged maturation process required for FB connections may render them selectively vulnerable to certain environmental insults or to genetic mutations that affect connections. This is interesting in light of the evidence that patients with schizophrenia are reported to have specific deficits in FB processing (Kemner et al., 2009; Dima et al., 2010). These deficits may account for phenomena such as auditory hallucinations, in which the patient’s thoughts—which are generally considered “inner speech”—are mistaken for external speech (Ford and Mathalon, 2005). Similar considerations also apply to other positive symptoms of schizophrenia, such as thought insertion and delusions of control. While such high-level phenomena are difficult to study, more quantitative measures of perception that also test predictive top-down functions, such as the “size-weight illusion” (Williams et al., 2010) and the “hollow mask illusion” (Dima et al., 2009), as well as direct measures of event-related potentials during figure-ground segregation (Kemner et al., 2009) all support this view. This makes it appealing to hypothesize that FB connections are somehow preferentially perturbed during development in these patients. Noninvasive anatomical methods, such as diffusion tensor imaging, cannot distinguish the directionality of connections, so direct tests are not currently possible in humans. It remains possible to test such a hypothesis, however, in genetic mouse models of schizophrenia, particularly those in which abnormal connectivity has been implicated (Corfas et al., 2004; Roy et al., 2007).
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